Compiled by Gregory Murphy

Moon, Not Sun Is Solution To Energy Problems

Former Apollo astronaut Harrison Schmitt gave a real sense of optimism when he told the Heartland Institute's Second International Conference on Climate Change, that the U.S. should stop funding the research and development of renewable energy sources like wind and solar. Instead, Schmitt said, we should be funding the research into developing fusion energy, which uses helium-3 as its fuel source.

In the deuterium-helium-3 reaction, energy is produed in the form of high-velocity protons, which eventually will be able to be converted directly to electricity without first heating a fluid.

"Helium-3 is abundant on the Moon, and that would mean that we will have to go back, and the good thing is, we know how to get there," said Schmitt, a geologist and one-term Senator from New Mexico, who landed on the Moon aboard Apollo 17.


Schmitt said that fusion would provide enormous amounts of energy, as opposed to the uncertain energy produced by wind and solar, which must have a base-load power station to back them up for the times that the wind does not blow and the Sun doesn't shine.

The conference took place in early March in New York City.

Monckton: Environmental Movement Has to Be Outlawed

"The environmental movement has to be outlawed, because their policies have murdered 40 million people, mainly children, with the ban on DDT," Lord Christopher Monckton, a leading science figure in Britain and outspoken opponent of global warming, told a conference in New York sponsored by the Heartland Institute in early March.

"The forces of darkness in the environmental movement want to create a new dark age in which humanity is pushed back to the Stone Age and without the right to light a fire," Monckton said. "They have caused mass starvation and food riots



NASA/Johnson Space Cente

Scientist-Astronaut Harrison H. Schmitt standing next to a huge boulder during the third extravehicular activity of the Apollo 17 mission, Dec. 13, 1972. Astronaut Eugene A. Cernan took the photo. Instead of so-called renewable energy, we should be investing in developing fusion, and mining one of its fuels, helium-3, on the Moon, Schmitt told the Heartland Institute climate conference.

with their nonsensical drive for bio-fuels."


Monckton has special authority in describing the genocidal nature of environmentalism. A member of his family played a key role in arranging the 1936 abdication of that chief symbol of Britain's Naziloving aristocracy, King Edward VIII.

Monckton called Al Gore's science advisor, James Hansen, the Dr. Strangelove

of NASA, saying, "Hansen's big scare of a sea level rise of 426 feet was too preposterous to be believed" and that "the only threat from sea-level rise is the one being created by the bedwetters." Monckton said: "There never was a cli-

mate crisis, there is not a climate crisis, and there will be no climate crisis. Since there is no climate crisis, the leaders of

the world must have the courage to do nothing."



Monckton's sentiments were seconded by Dr. Arthur Robinson, Director of the Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine and the originator of the petition against Al Gore's genocidal global warming hoax, signed by 32,000 scientists (www.oism.org/pproject/).

Robinson told the same conference on March 9 that the people like Al Gore who promote global warming alarmism

Continued on page 58



Heartland Institute video

Lord Monckton: "The forces of darkness in the environmental movement want to create a new dark age...."

Global Warming Update

Continued from page 7 are committing genocide by the withdrawal of technology from the developing world. "There is a current example of genocide by the removal of technology, and that is the ban on DDT, and that has resulted in the deaths of 30 to 40 million people and has left half a billion infected with malaria."


But the policies that the alarmists are promoting, are much worse than the ban on DDT, Robinson said, because they will lead to rationing of energy. This rationing of energy will have the biggest impact on the Third World populations, who are trying to uplift their standard of living by the application of energy and technology. He noted that, "the billions of people who live at the lowest level of human existence will suffer greatly from the rationing of energy, and this, in turn, will lead to the death of hundreds of millions, or possibly billions."



Arthur Robinson: The removal of technology is genocide.



Global warming fruitcake James Hansen: Even his former NASA boss says global warming is not man-made.



ty activist and prospective parliamentary candidate.

Even though the argument of these environmentalists for nuclear is that it is a good solution to the false danger of global warming, their change of heart marks an important turn in the fight over nuclear power in Britain.

> Mark Lynas described the reaction of fellow greens to his coming out for nuclear power by saying,"For an environmentalist, it's a bit like admitting you are gay to your parents because you're kind of worried about being rejected."

Chris Goodall gave the best argument for nuclear power, in a companion piece entitled," The Green Movement Must Learn to Love Nuclear Power." "It's important to understand

the scale of the challenge, Goodall wrote. "Yes, Britain has enormous renewable resources, but as David MacKay's excellent new book, Sustainable Energy Without the Hot Air shows, we will need country-sized energy investments to extract them. You hear a lot about wave and wind, but if 1,000 km of Atlantic coastline were completely filled with Pelamis wave machines, this would generate enough electricity to cover less than 10 per cent of our current consumption.

"Delivering two thirds of today's electricity supply from wind would require a 30-fold increase in British wind power. Both of these are technically feasible,



Hearland iInstitute video

NASA's John Theon: No rational reason to use climate model forecasts to determine policy.

but would require massive and sustained investment as well as higher prices for electricity."

Hansen's Former NASA **Supervisor Declares Global Warming a Fraud**

NASA's leading global-warming fruitcake James Hansen (who, by the way, is former Vice President Al Gore's science advisor on the subject), was publicly rebuked on Jan. 15 by his former NASA supervisor Dr. John S. Theon, Theon, a retired senior NASA atmospheric scientist, was Hansen's supervisor from 1982-

In a letter to Sen. James Inhofe, the ranking Republican on the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee, Theon wrote: "I appreciate the opportunity to add my name to those who disagree that global warming is manmade." The growing list of scientists who don't believe in Al Gore's genocidal global warming hoax was started by the Minority Staff of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee last year, and now includes more than 650 scientists.

James Hansen was never "muzzled," Theon wrote, even though he violated NASA's official agency position on climate forecasting (that is, we didn't know enough to forecast climate change or mankind's effect on it). Hansen thus embarrassed NASA by coming out with his claims of global warming in 1988 in testimony to Congress.

It should be noted that during the time that Hansen says he was "muzzled," he received \$100,000 from George Soros's



Hearland ilnstitute video



Former Greenpeace UK director Stephen Tindale: Britain must go nuclear.

Four British Enviros Endorse Nuclear Power

Four top British environmentalists came out of the anti-nuclear-power closet in an article published in the London-based Independent, saying that Britain must embrace nuclear power: Stephen Tindale, former director of Greenpeace UK; Lord Chris Smith of Finsbury, the chairman of the Environment Agency; Mark Lynas, author of the Royal Society's science book of the year;1 and Chris Goodall, a Green Par-

^{1.} A review of Lynas's book, Six Degrees: Our Future on a Hotter Planet, can be found in the Spring 2008 21st Century.

Open Society's Politicization of Science program to help with legal expenses and media appearances.

Climate Models Useless

Theon declared that climate models are useless. "My own belief concerning anthropogenic climate change is that the models do not realistically simulate the climate system because there are many very important sub-grid scale processes that the models either replicate poorly or completely omit," Theon explained.

"Furthermore, some scientists have manipulated the observed data to justify their model results. In doing so, they neither explain what they have modified in the observations, nor explain how they did it. They have resisted making their work transparent so that it can be replicated independently by other scientists. This is clearly contrary to how science should be done. Thus there is no rational justification for using climate model forecasts to determine public policy," Theon added.

Winter Storm Disrupts Global Warming Demo

A large, late-winter snow storm that struck the Washington, D.C. area March 1-2, put a crimp in what was supposed to be the largest global warming demo ever, including a sit-in at a coal-fired power plant.

Greenpeace was hyping the demonstration for months, saying that hundreds of thousands would take part in the demonstration. In the snowy reality, only about 1,200 demonstrators showed up for the rally and many fewer marched to the Capitol Hill power plant to take part in blocking the gates.

In the build-up to the demonstration, Dr. James Hansen of NASA produced a video posted on the Capital Climate Action website, encouraging citizens to take part in acts of civil disobedience. With this action, Hansen may have violated the Hatch Act, which prohibits Federal employees from participating in partisan political activity.

One of Hansen's former supervisors, Dr. John Theon (see above), told Fox News, when informed of the video, "Why he has not been fired I don't understand and as a civil servant, when you go on



This Schiller Institute banner and a leaflet on global warming as genocide greeted attendees at the international conference on "Climate Change: global Risks, Challenges and Decisions" in Copenhagen, March 10-12. Lord Nicholas Stern, was asked by a Schiller Institute organizer, "What do you say about the charges from us, as well as third world countries, that your policy of reducing CO2 emissions is leading to geno-

third world countries, that your policy of reducing CO2 emissions is leading to genocide?" Stern stated that the policy ro reduce emissions is "clear," and "I don't see an explicit population policy as part of this story...."

the Internet and call for people to break the law, that's a problem."

How to Win a Debate On Global Warming

Mohd Peter Davis, a collaborator of Lyndon LaRouche and *21st Century* in Malaysia, sent this delightful report on his son's victory against the greenies:

"I am pleased to inform you that our son Danny (a first-year physics undergraduate) and his Sixth College Team won the Universiti Putra Malaysia Inter-College English Debate in a knock-out competition over the weekend.

"Winning the final round was particularly sweet. The topic, announced 24 hours before the mock Parliamentary debate was "The Government should make Global Warming a priority issue."

"The Government side had to argue for the motion, the Opposition against the motion. It was hailed by the teams as a walkover win for whichever team got the Government motion since nearly everyone in Malaysia, especially the youth (but not Danny), believes in the Global Warming fraud.

Danny was alone in hoping to be able to argue for the Opposition against the Government Global Warming motion and his wish came true, much to the dismay of his team. Danny had two hours to bring his team up to speed on the real sci-

ence to counter the fraudulent Global Warming claims.

"I got an urgent message. 'We need your information fast! But Rule Number One from the Judges limits our scope—No politics on the universities, this is Malaysia!' So it was either Science or defeat. E-mails flashed between father and son.

"[Danny showed the team]: Graphs from 21st Century Science & Technology showing the Earth was 2 degrees warmer 1,000 years ago in the Medieval Warm Period of lush vegetation, when Greenland got its name. (For Al Gore, let's rename it Whiteland!) Elliptical orbits of the Earth around the Sun, the changing tilt of the Earth, the long passage of the Solar System through the Milky Way, sun flares and cosmic radiation from exploding stars all combining and interacting to determine the natural cycles for at least the last 2 million years. Some 10,000 years of global warming with the release of carbon dioxide from the oceans creating springtime on Earth, followed by around 100,000 years of ice ages where most land based living matter is exterminated under kilometers of ice and bone dry deserts, except in our lush Malaysian and Amazon equatorial rainforests—the Noah's Ark for the 50 million species on Earth.

"'Ok, Ok we get it! Global Warming is a natural, not a man-made phenomenon.





Dr. Roy Spence

Are chicken bones next for making global warming forecasts?

Above left are the authors of a Massachusetts Institute of Technology study with the roulette wheel of how hot it will get, depending on what the world does to cut carbon emissions. The wheel was used at a press conference to release their alarmist report on climate—"Probabilistic forecast for 21st century climate based on uncertainties in emissions (without policy) and climate parameters"—which states that temperature increases will exceed those predicted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change by 2 or 3 degrees in the next 100 years, killing billions. http://ams.allenpress.com/perlsery/?request=get-abstract&doi=10.1175%2F2009JCL12863.1&ct=1

At right is a "Photoshopped" version of the MIT roulette wheel by Dr. Roy Spencer, former NASA climate scientist, who used his version of the wheel to show the fallacy of climate models. According to Spencer, The MIT report comes from failed computer models that are based on assumptions that have little connection to the real world processes that determine the Earth's climate. http://www.climatechangefraud.com/behind-the-science/ 4123-the-mit-global-warming-gamble

But we haven't got a killer argument to win the debate.'

"Then, according to Danny, a light bulb moment occurred. 'The debate says the government should make global warming a priority; but what about the global economic crisis—isn't that more important? At the most, the natural global warming will cause the oceans to rise a few millimeters; that won't kill us. But not getting a job when we graduate certainly will.'

'Reality Strikes'

"Then, scrambling through the internet, finding a recent survey showing that Americans actually voted the economy number one, and global warming last, in their list of concerns. 'Reality strikes. With that information, now we can win!'

"And to their amazement they did, against the student body that had fallen victim to the Global Warming fear-mongers. Almost a clean sweep, with 4 out of 5 judges saying they had won the debate.

"In the refreshments afterwards a college mate gave Danny a high 5. 'You were real smart making up that story. You

could never have won without it.' 'Hey, it's not a story, this is science, man; it all happens to be true,' said Danny.

"As in any battle, spoils go to the victor. 'Two really hot girls asked for my autograph,' claimed a proud Danny.

"He came home for dinner that night and between mouthfuls gave us a blow by blow account of how his team demolished the Global Warmers. His Sixth College had regained the English Debate trophy after 26 years. 'So what lessons did you learn?' I wanted to know, hoping perhaps for a lofty answer like the superiority of science over public opinion. Danny thought for a surprisingly long moment and then looked glum. 'I made a serious blunder,' he finally admitted.

"Oh, what was that?

"'I forgot to give them my phone number!"

"Such is life."

Obama Green Stimulus Will Cost Jobs, Spanish Study Shows

For every new job created by green energy subsidies, at least 2.2 jobs in other industries will disappear, according to a report released by King Juan Carlos University in Madrid, Spain.

"The premiums paid for solar, biomass, wave, and wind power—which are charged to consumers in their bills—translates into a \$774,000 cost for each Spanish green job created since 2000," says report author Prof. Gabriel Calzada. "The loss of jobs could be greater if you account for the amount of lost industry that moves out of country due to higher energy prices," Calzada added.

The report should be a wake-up call for the Obama Adminstration and for all members of Congress who believe in the genocidal myth of a green energy revolution.



Windmills at Campo de Criptana in La Mancha, Spain. A Spanish study documents that windmills have caused a rise in both energy prices and job losses.